Even if we have written testimony that the ancient Greek intellectuals were already aware of the existence of woodlice, the history of scientific research on this group of animals starts 2000 years later. Beginning with Linnaeus, this survey portrays the more important researchers in this field, trying to outline the development from descriptive taxonomy into a broad field of biological science. Today isopodological research includes studies on ecology, behavior, nutritional biology, anatomy, functional morphology, neurology, physiology, biogeography, systematics, and phylogenetic analyses based on morphological and molecular data.
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ANCIENT AUTHORS

When I started to prepare this paper, there was the question: How to begin? And as every presentation on natural history begins with Aristoteles I decided to start with this ancient universal genius. I had however never heard that such humble creatures as isopods had attracted his attention. To be sure I asked his recent countryman Spyros Sfenthourakis, and of course, he knew it better and immediately sent me this citation from one of the natural history writings of Aristoteles from Περί Ζώων Ιστορίαι (De Animalibus Historia, Book V, chapter 25):

Εν δε τή θαλάττη γίγνονται μεν έν τους ιχθύοι φθείρες ούτοι δ’ ουκ εξ αυτών των ιχθύων, αλλ’ εκ τής ιλύος, εισί δε τας όψεις όνους τους πολύποσι, πλην την ουράν έχουσι πλατείαν.

(In the sea there are lice on the fishes that do not originate from the fishes themselves but from the mud, and they look alike the many-legged donkeys, only that these have a flat tail.)

So this was probably the first time that mankind showed, in a written document which has been saved until today, that they recognized isopods as a separate group of animals – even if there is a high probability that already Paleolithic people did so (Fig. 1).

Please note this Greek word ‘όνος’; we will return to this point later on.

It may be possible that in Roman times there were some natural history writings in which isopods may have been mentioned – one suspicion is Plinius.
(Plyni the Elder), who might also have copied some parts of the books of Aristoteles, but I did not check this, neither the natural history books of the Middle Ages, because if they mentioned our animals at all there was no real progress in the knowledge on the isopod biology.

**Carl Linnaeus**

This progress began in the 18th century and was triggered by a change in the background of European culture which we call the renaissance in combination with new technical achievements like the invention of the microscope.

So we jump now 2000 years and continue with what might be called the beginning of modern biological research.

It was the Swedish scientist Carl Linnaeus, who published in 1735 a book with the title Systema Naturae. In this book he realized the idea of naming plant species with a genus name and a species name, using Latin or Greek words. Under the genus name he united a number of similar species – the idea that organisms had phylogenetic relationships was yet completely unknown.

*Get lost, you many-legged donkey, this is my wine!*
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**Fig. 1.** Aristoteles was the first human being who mentioned woodlice in a written document saved until today. The cartoon visualizes one possible situation how he became aware of these animals (artist: Ulf Harr)
In the 10th edition of this book, in 1758, he named and described more than 12,000 animal and plant species.

This 10th edition contained an animal species which Linnaeus had named *Oniscus asellus*. It was the first species of terrestrial isopods described in modern systematics. *Oniscus* is the Latinized diminutive of the Greek word “onos” which means donkey. Aristoteles had called the woodlice the multi-legged donkeys, and as we can suppose that Linnaeus knew the writings of the ancient authors, it is obvious that we owe to Aristoteles the name *Oniscus* and the family name Oniscidae derived from *Oniscus* and even the name of the whole suborder Oniscidea.

Linnaeus united under the name *Oniscus* a number of different isopod species, even from marine groups. So for him, the genus name *Oniscus* was used for different members of what we call today the order Isopoda.

19TH CENTURY

During the next 100 years, this group was split up in more and more different genera and families and even different suborders of the order Isopoda by authors like Fabricius, Latreille, Brandt, Dana, Kinahan and a number of other authors. This was done in publications often dedicated to a wide variety of arthropods. The descriptions given by these authors of the 19th century are today insufficient to recognize the species, at least if they did not contain any illustrations. Some authors supplemented the descriptions by drawings, which were sometimes amazingly exact and are even today still useful depictions of diagnostic characters (Fig. 2).

*Joseph Schöbl*

The bulk of the 19th century literature on isopods consists of taxonomic publications, in which the descriptions of new species comprise some lines on superficial characters. There is one exception, which is a monographic, very extensive description of a species which was later synonymized with *Platyarthrus hoffmannseggi* Brandt, 1833, with 50 text-pages and 52 outstanding and exact illustrations. It was published in 1860 by Joseph Schöbl (Fig. 3) from Prague.

He also investigated the internal anatomy, as f. i. the very complicated digestive system, and it is quite unbelievable which details he saw with a technical equipment of 1860.

There were other authors in the 19th century who began to investigate skeletal morphology, internal anatomy, histology, and physiology of isopods.
Fig. 2. Uljanin (1875) is one author from the 19th century, who supplemented his descriptions by drawings, which were amazingly exact and are even today still useful depictions of diagnostic characters.
I have compiled the following list of publications (certainly not complete) on the biology of terrestrial isopods beyond taxonomy and distribution, to show that already in that time there were scientists who investigated nearly all aspects of the life of woodlice. Publications from 19th century on non-taxonomic topics are as follows: Brandt (1871), Bobretzky (1874), Conklin (1897), Duvernoy & Lereboullet (1841), Friedrich (1883), Huet (1883), Leydig (1878), Martynov (1896), McMurrich (1895), McMurrich (1898), Nusbaum (1893), Nusbaum (1896), Reinhard (1887), Roule (1891), Schöbl (1880), Schönichen (1899), Stoller (1899), Wagner (1865), Weber (1881).

**Gustav Budde-Lund**

In 1885 something happened which brought the systematics of terrestrial isopods on a new level. A book was published, comprising 320 pages, in which 170 new species of terrestrial isopods were described. It was written in Latin, and the author was Gustav Budde-Lund (Fig. 4) from Copenhagen. In addition, the book listed all terrestrial isopod species described in older publications, so this added up to 385 species known at that time. 120 years later this number had increased ten times (see Schmalfuss 2003), but nevertheless, Budde-Lund had achieved a tremendous task, for which he had borrowed

Fig. 3. In 1860 Schöbl illustrated the stomach of *Platyarthrus hoffmannseggii* (*Typhloniscus steinii* is a synonym) – consider that the whole animal is only 3 mm long

Fig. 4. The Danish isopod researcher Gustav Budde-Lund (1846–1911) (courtesy of Dr. Jørgen Olesen from the Natural History Museum of Copenhagen)
SCHMALFUSS, H.

isopod collections from many museums. His descriptions were very extensive and detailed, considering the knowledge of that time, and he also redescribed more than 100 species insufficiently described by former authors, which he found in the investigated collections. Seemingly a shortcoming of these descriptions was the lack of illustrations, but it would have been impossible to publish descriptions of 270 species with illustrations.

Although Budde-Lund never had a position as a zoologist, he went on in his spare time to study and publish on terrestrial isopods and was the leading specialist on this group of animals in his time. He published 23 partly very extensive papers on terrestrial isopods from all over the world. In the end, he had described 433 new species, and the publications after 1885 often contained many exact illustrations. Jeppesen (2000) has listed all terrestrial isopod taxa described by Budde-Lund. This publication also contains a short biography of Budde-Lund. He died in 1911.

20TH CENTURY

The first half of the 20th century was then dominated by four prominent isopodologists. The oldest was the German author Karl Verhoeff, followed by the Italian Alceste Arcangeli, the French Albert Vandel, and the Austrian Hans Strouhal.

Karl Verhoeff

Karl Verhoeff (1867–1944) (Fig. 5) was born in Soest in northern Germany. He studied at the University of Bonn and spent some years in Berlin. The last part of his life he spent in Munich, where he died in 1944, as a consequence of a bomb attack which destroyed his house.

Verhoeff was a very prolific scientist. Altogether he produced 670 publications, not only on isopods but also on a number of insect groups and centipedes and millipedes. He started publishing in the year 1890, and when his first paper on isopods appeared in 1896, he had already published more than 100 papers on different groups of other arthropods. In his 125 publications on terrestrial isopods, he treated mainly collections from Europe, but also material from South America, Indo-Australia, and Africa. This excessive overproduction had, of course, its negative side. The publications were often very chaotic and superficially arranged, without any critical control. One consequence of this was that Verhoeff, at least as far as isopods are concerned, described many species several times. The descriptions were insufficient to recognize the species, obviously also for the author, who 10 years later described the same species a second time. The situation became worse by the fact that Verhoeff,
who most of the time did not have a position with a salary (except for the period from 1900 to 1905 at the Natural History Museum in Berlin), sold his type material to foreign museums because he needed the money to live on. So the material was out of his hands when he would have needed it for comparisons. For subsequent scientists working in this field this was a very tedious situation, if you wanted to become familiar with the species in a certain region, anyhow in Europe, in many cases you had to revise type-material to be sure about the identifications.

On the other hand, Verhoeff had a very wide biological interest and observed and published findings on ecology, physiology, reproduction, morphology, anatomy, phylogeny and biogeography, which were often not considered by the other, more taxonomically orientated specialists. Verhoeff also undertook a remarkable number of collecting expeditions to countries of southern Europe, contrary to his contemporary colleagues who restricted their collecting activities to their home countries.

In 1962 a self-portrayal written by Verhoeff in 1942 was published in the series “Lebensdarstellungen deutscher Naturforscher”, which was edited by the Deutsche Akademie der Naturforscher Leopoldina (50 pp., including a complete bibliography of Verhoeff’s publications). An obituary was written by Strasser (1949).

Alceste Arcangeli

Alceste Arcangeli (1880–1965) (Fig. 6) was born in Pisa and held positions at several Italian universities, until from 1930 to 1945 he became the Head of the Department of Zoology at the University of Torino in northern Italy.

Arcangeli published around 160 papers on terrestrial isopods and described 175 new species, counting only those that are considered valid today. His main interest was dedicated to the Italian fauna, but there were also publications on other Mediterranean countries and quite a number of articles on isopods from other continents. Besides this, Arcangeli revised single genera or families, reflecting on systematic relationships. Most of his publications are
accompanied by high-quality illustrations. The main object of these publications was systematics and distribution, in a few articles sex determination, reproduction and pigmentation were treated.

In 1935 Arcangeli published an article with the title “Eoniscus simplicissimus, un pigmeo degli isopodi terrestri, appartenente a nuova specie, nuovo genere, nuova famiglia” (Arcangeli 1935). This induced Verhoeff to a response in the form of a publication with the title: On a supposedly new isopod family. This article began with: “Errare humanum est, and also scientist are humans and can make mistakes. But for a scientist, there are two categories of errors: forgivable and unforgivable ones. And what Mr. Arcangeli has done is unforgivable.” Because Arcangeli had investigated the larva of a diplopod, which by bad luck had just seven dorsal plates, and he mistook it for an isopod. Of course, Verhoeff, being also a specialist on millipedes recognized this immediately. Because Arcangeli was just as convinced of himself as Verhoeff, from that moment on the two, Arcangeli and Verhoeff, lived in a continuous feud and hostility. In many subsequent publications of either of them, there was a chapter on the mistakes and deficiencies of the other one. What we learn from this story is that persons with alleged high integrity like scientists can have all the strong points and all the shortcomings which characterize all human beings.

Arcangeli died in 1965, aged 84. An obituary was written by Guido Bacci (1967).

Albert Vandel

Albert Vandel (1894–1980) (Fig. 7) was born in Besançon in central France. He spent his scientific career at the University of Toulouse in southern France, where he was Professor of Zoology from 1930 to 1965. In 1948 he founded, together with René Jeannel, a Subterranean Laboratory in Moulis in the French Pyrenees.

Vandel published his first paper on isopods in 1922 and produced another 200 publications on terrestrial isopods until 1981. He described more than...
330 new species, and he had a very systematic way of dealing with these species and/or a very good memory, so he rarely described the same species a second time. Of the prominent isopodologists of the first half of the 20th century he had certainly the most comprehensive knowledge of terrestrial isopods on a global scale. Again, his main interests were on systematics and biogeography, but he also investigated sex determination, reproduction, ecology and genetics, as far as this was possible at that time. A conspicuous trait of Vandel is his fondness for small species as trichoniscids, and anybody who has tried to make preparations of the pleopods of a two-millimeter long Trichoniscus knows that you must have a very steady hand not to lose some important parts.

Vandel died in 1980, aged 86. An obituary was published by Angelier (1982).

Hans Strouhal

The youngest of these four prominent isopodologists was Hans Strouhal (1897–1969) (Fig. 8). He was born in Vienna, where he first held positions at the university and after the World War II at the Natural History Museum, from 1951 to 1963 as director. He worked not only on isopods but published also on different insect groups. The first of his 66 papers on isopods appeared in 1927. He was a very accurate and systematic researcher, and his descriptions were always accompanied by high-quality illustrations. His main field of interest was Eastern Europe from Austria to Turkey, and a few publications deal with material from Africa and South America (Fig. 9)*.

An obituary was published by Max Beier (1969).

* Figures 9–42 are to be found only in the digital supplement of this paper.
Additional researchers 1900–1945

Of course, these four prominent specialists were not the only scientists who worked on terrestrial isopods in the first half of the 20th century. The following researchers also dealt with systematics and distribution of the Oniscidea:

- **Harriet Richardson** (USA, 1905 monograph on all isopods of North America and 20 publications on terrestrial isopods between 1899 and 1926); (Fig. 10);
- **William van Name** (USA, 1936 monograph on land and freshwater isopods of North and South America and 6 publications on terrestrial isopods between 1920 and 1942);
- **Alessandro Brian** (Italy, 40 publications mainly on Italian species); (Fig. 11)
- **Walter Collinge** (Great Britain, 20 articles, worldwide);
- **Harold Gordon Jackson** (Great Britain, 19 publications, worldwide);
- **Zdenek Frankenberger** (Czechoslovakia, 20 papers on the Balkans);
- **Werner Herold** (Germany, 26 publications mainly on central Europe, with one large paper on the Australasian islands);
- **Charles Chilton** (New Zealand, 21 papers mainly on Australian region);
- **Keppel Harcourt Barnard** (South Africa, 13 publications mainly on Africa).

The most important non-taxonomic publications between 1900–1945 are by Abbot (1940), Abraham and Wolsky (1930), Allee (1926), Angelini (1935) Barnard (1924), Barnes (1940), Bateman (1933), Bazire (1945), Bertossi (1938), Bock (1942), Bruntz (1907), Chandy (1939), Geiser (1929), Jackson (1928), Kleinholz (1937), Mödlinger (1931), Müller (1925), Murlin (1902), Numanoi (1937), Nusbaum-Hilarowicz (1917), Okay (1945), O’Mahoney (1931), Poisson (1928), Racovitza (1925), Radu (1931), Reinders (1933), Schwenck (1927), Unwin (1932).

In this period an increasing number of publications on biological aspects other than taxonomy were published.

RESEARCH ON TERRESTRIAL ISOPODS 1945 – TODAY

Now we come to the period between the end of World War II and today, which was a time of constant economic development in the industrialized countries. Due to a growing interest in natural sciences and to increasing financial possibilities more and more scientists could be employed to investigate natural phenomena and processes. This situation was reflected even by the number of researchers working on such unspectacular and economically uninteresting organisms as terrestrial isopods. So in this period the number of publications on terrestrial isopods was multiplied, compared with former
periods. On the one side, many new species were discovered, especially in the
tropics, which was also due to the improved possibilities to travel to foreign
countries (technically and politically). On the other hand, there was a great
progress concerning the technical development (e. g., the scanning electron
microscope). Due to this progress in techniques and methods completely new
fields of research opened up, as for example genetics and molecular biology.
So I can draw only a rough sketch of this development in the different biologi-
cal fields.

We start again with systematics. Three of the four prominent researchers
on systematics in the first half of the 20th century continued their work after
World War II. The oldest, Verhoeff, had died in 1944, Arcangeli lived and

America

In the following list of the post-war taxonomists, we go from west to east
and start in America. And of course, I have to restrict myself to those authors
with rather important contributions to isopod systematics.

George Schultz (Fig. 12) is the only recent specialist for terrestrial iso-
pods in the USA. He started to publish on oniscideans in 1961 and made im-
portant contributions to the knowledge of terrestrial isopods of North and
South America and Eastern Asia (more than 50 publications).

Enrique Rioja published 9 papers on the Oniscidea of Mexico between
1950 and 1964.

Alceu Lemos de Castro contributed with 25 publications to the knowl-

In 1994 Paula de Araujo (Figs 13–14) began to publish on terrestrial iso-
pods from the southern part of Brazil. In the meantime, she and a number of
co-authors have released numerous articles on the systematics of the Onis-
cidea, and she still is very active in this field, together with her colleagues
and students (e.g., Ivanklin Soares Campos-Filho) at the University of Porto
Alegre.

Great Britain

In Great Britain, the taxonomic research was restricted to the native spe-
cies in that post-war period. It is, however, the only country in which an Iso-
podological Society exists (the official name is British Myriapod and Isopod
Group). They organize annual meetings with field excursions. This led to
the production of a complete distribution atlas of around 30 native species
of woodlice found in the country (Harding & Sutton 1985). Stephen Sutton
(Fig. 15) produced an identification key for some 40 British species.
The Netherlands, Denmark, Norway

In the Netherlands, in Denmark and Norway national monographs on woodlice have been published (Holthuis 1956, Berg et al. 2008, Meinertz 1964, Sars already in 1899).

France and Iberian Peninsula

In France, Albert Vandel was still very active on an international scale until his death in 1980. In 1960 and 1962 he published an extremely detailed and comprehensive monograph on the woodlice of France in two volumes with more than 900 pages and illustrations for every one of the 166 species treated.

Further contributions to the systematics of mainly French woodlice are due to Jean-Jacques Legrand (44 publications on many biological aspects between 1942 and 1986) and Henry Dalens (more than 50 publications beginning in 1962).

In Spain, two young colleagues were active in the 1990ies. Antonio Cruz published on material from northern Spain (11 publications), and Rafael Rodriguez (Figs 16–17) made a Ph.D. thesis on the woodlice of the Canary Islands, with 8 subsequent publications.

In 1971 the Austrian Karl Schmölzer published a species list of the Iberian Peninsula.

Germany and Switzerland

In 1965-66 a detailed monograph of the Isopoda of Germany appeared in two volumes, written by Hans-Eckard Gruner. The second volume treated the 46 species of woodlice known at that time from Germany. This book contains high-quality illustrations of the diagnostic characters of every species and identification keys for every genus, and it is still the best guide for the identification of the species of Central Europe.

In 1972 a person called Helmut Schmalfuss appeared on the isopodological stage with some small articles on woodlice from Greece. This person is the author of the present paper, so that I will go a little bit more into details about my isopodological career. In 1973 I received some terrestrial isopods from Cameroon. Since I was young and eager, but inexperienced, I wrote to Franco Ferrara from Florence in Italy whether he would like to co-operate on these African animals.

He had started in 1971 to publish on terrestrial isopods from Africa, and immediately agreed that we work together on the African material. Finally this lead during the next ten years to the production of a revision of all terres-
trial isopods from West Africa. Franco was the driving force, he went to many museums to investigate collections from western Africa. In the meantime, I had found a permanent position at the Natural History Museum of Stuttgart and thus could go on working and publishing on terrestrial isopods. I did this, mainly concerning systematics and distribution of woodlice in the eastern Mediterranean region, until I officially retired from the museum position ten years ago, but continued to work on isopods on a reduced scale until last year.

Around 1990 Friedhelm Erhard produced, under my supervision, a Ph.D. thesis on comparative anatomy of terrestrial isopods to clarify phylogenetical questions. After this, he published a number of further papers on anatomy and phylogeny of isopods (also including non-oniscideans) with very impressive complex three-dimensional illustrations. Unfortunately, he stopped with these investigations because he did not find an adequate position in a scientific institution.

In 1997 two young colleagues, Christian Schmidt and Andreas Leistikow, produced their first publications. They were followed by a number of extensive papers on woodlice from tropical America and other regions, with extremely good illustrations, but both of them again stopped working with isopods because they could not find any adequate positions.

In Switzerland, Jean Carl published already in 1908 a monograph on the terrestrial isopods of the country.

Italy

In Italy, Alceste Arcangeli was still active until 1963. Domenico Caruso in Catania on Sicily started 1968 to publish on isopods with a number of colleagues and students. He and his team worked mainly on Sicily and northern Africa.

In Rome, Alberto Argano appeared on our stage in 1973, working mainly on Italian isopods.

Franco Ferrara (Fig. 18) also had found a permanent position in Florence in a research program on faunistics and ecology of the tropics, and after a couple of years he found a student whom he convinced to prepare a Ph.D. thesis on the woodlice of the Tuscan Archipelago. His name was Stefano Taiti, and in the following 25 years Franco and Stefano published dozens of extensive monographs on regional isopod faunas or comprehensive revisions of genera with thousands of incredibly detailed illustrations and descriptions of hundreds of new species from all over the world. Ten years ago Franco retired because of health problems, while Stefano remained world-wide the only full-time specialist for the systematics of terrestrial isopods at a global scale, until he officially also retired in 2017.
Czechia

Zdenek Frankenberger started already before World War II and produced 20 publications on the Oniscidea of the Balkans between 1937 and 1964, with two papers on material from western Asia.

Marie Flasarová (Fig. 19) also published 20 papers between 1958 and 2000 on the terrestrial isopods from her country.

Austria

Hans Strouhal was active until his death in 1969.

Karl Schmölzer (Fig. 20) produced 17 publications on Oniscidea from Europe and Africa between 1951 and 1974. In 1965 he published an identification key for all terrestrial isopod species of Europe. As it was to be expected for a key on hundreds of species extracted only from the literature without investigating the type material this construction contains many deficiencies.

Hungary

Already in 1926 Ernő Csiki had compiled a monograph on the terrestrial isopods of the Hungarian Empire of that time (including Dalmatia, Bosnia and Hercegovina), which contains 110 species. In 1942 Endre Dudich supplemented this list, and in 1998 L. Forró and S. Farkas published an updated checklist of the Oniscidea of Hungary. G. Ilosvay and I. Loksa have published on faunistics of terrestrial isopods in Hungary, in the first half of the 20th century some publications on taxonomy by L. Méhely and A. Kesselyák had appeared.

For a complete bibliography on Hungarian Oniscidea please consult Forró and Farkas (1998).

Ex-Yugoslavia


Mladen Karaman, active 1961–1974, published a check-list of the terrestrial isopod species of Ex-Yugoslavia and a few additional articles on material from this country. His son Ivo Karaman continues the research on the isopod fauna of that region.

Milika Pljakić, active 1970–1977, contributed with 7 publications to the knowledge of Serbian Oniscidea.
Romania and Bulgaria

Vasile Radu published between 1931 and 1979 some 40 papers on the Romanian isopod fauna. His publications are equipped with highly professional illustrations.

Ionel Tabacaru (Fig. 21) (first publication 1963) produced more than 20 contributions to the knowledge of Roumanian isopods, mainly Trichoniscidae. Nicolae Tomescu (first publication 1972) produced more than a dozen publications on Roumanian Oniscidea.

Stoitze Andreev had his first publication in 1970 and contributed with some 15 papers to the knowledge of terrestrial isopods from Bulgaria and Greece.

Greece

Jannis Matsakis published between 1955 and 1979 mainly on Greek isopods. Spyros Sfenthourakis started with a Ph.D. thesis on the terrestrial isopods of the Aegean islands. His first publication appeared in 1991, and since then he publishes continuously not only on systematics of Greek isopods, but also on phylogenetical questions and biogeographical theories.

Russia

Evgenii Borutzky (Fig. 22) worked mainly on Copepoda (first publication 1925) but produced additionally 34 publications between 1939 and 1978 (posthumous, he died in 1976) on terrestrial isopods from the Soviet Union.

Konstantin Gongalsky publishes on Oniscidea (mainly from the Caucasus region) since 2004.

Iran

Ghasem Kashani publishes on terrestrial isopods from Iran since 2010.

South Korea

Do Kwon contributes with publications since 1993 to the knowledge of terrestrial isopods from Eastern Asia.

Japan

Noboru Nunomura (Fig. 23) started to publish on isopods (also on marine groups) in 1979. In further publications he has described many new spe-
cies, a considerable number of which have in the meantime been shown to be synonyms.

Australia

Alison Green was active from 1961 to 1990, in which time she produced 10 publications on Oniscidea from the Australian region.

SYMPOSIA

It is now time to mention the fact that from 1983 onwards international symposia on the biology of terrestrial isopods were held at rather regular intervals. It is generally agreed that these meetings are an excellent possibility for all zoologists working with terrestrial isopods to inform themselves about the state of knowledge in those scientific fields other than one’s own specialty.

Symposia on the Biology of Terrestrial Isopods:
- 1. London 1983, organized by S. Sutton and D. Holdich;
- 3. Poitiers (France) 1990, organized by P. Juchault and colleagues;
- 4. Haifa (Israel) 1997, organized by E. Hornung and M. Warburg;
- 5. Irakleio (Greece) 2001, organized by S. Sfentourakis;
- 6. Aveiro (Portugal) 2004, organized by S. Loureiro and colleagues;
- 7. Tunis (Tunisia) 2007, organized by F. Charfi-Cheikhrouha and colleagues;
- 8. Bled (Slovenia) 2011, organized by J. Štrus and colleagues;
- 9. Poitiers (France) 2014, organized by D. Bouchon and colleagues;
- 10. Budapest (Hungary) 2017, organized by E. Hornung and colleagues.

RESEARCH BEYOND TAXONOMY AFTER 1945

We now come to the research beyond taxonomy. In these fields normally we have authors who are not specialized on terrestrial isopods, they investigate this group for comparisons with other animal groups. We make a short review through a number of different biological aspects, and I give a list of authors who have been active in these fields. These lists are certainly not complete, and the publication examples were randomly selected and do not mean any quality judgement.*

**Distribution and biogeography**

Most taxonomists have contributed to the knowledge of distribution, e.g., by presenting records in maps and trying to find explanations for different distribution types. There is only one paper using terrestrial isopods to test biogeographical theories (Sfenthourakis & Giokas 1998), as far as I know (Fig. 24).

**External morphology**

Again many taxonomical publications contain information and illustrations on the external morphology of the Oniscidea. Functional interpretations you find in the publications of Caruso, Hoese (1982), Schmalfuss, etc. (Fig. 25).

**Anatomy**

Anatomical investigations were performed by Jackson, Flasarová, Alikhan, Storch, Štrus and colleagues, Erhard, Schmalfuss (e.g., see Erhard 1997, Štrus & Blejec 2001) (Figs 26–27).

**Phylogeny (including molecular biology)**

Phylogenetic researches have been carried out by Schmalfuss, Erhard (1998) (based on anatomy) (Fig. 28), Mattern, Michel-Salzat & Bouchon, Sfenthourakis and colleagues (based on DNA analysis). Phylogenetic relationships inside the Oniscidea were investigated by Erhard (1998).

**Cuticle, molt**

Results on cuticle research can be found in the publications of Holdich, Schmalfuss, Ziegler et al. (2006) etc. (Fig. 29).

**Ecology (including nutrition)**

Mark Hassall and his co-workers have, during the past 40 years, done some really enlightening experimental ecological investigations, e.g. on interspecific competition. In the publications of Araujo and colleagues, Carefoot (1973), Charfi-Cheikhrouha and colleagues, Fraj et al. (2008), Gere (1956), Hopkin (1990) (heavy metals), Hornung and Warburg (1995), Shachak et al. (1979) (on Hemilepistus), Sutton, Szlávecz, Tajovsky, Tomescu, Vilisics, Warburg and Zimmer more ecological data and bibliographies of older publications can be found (Figs 30–37).
Behaviour

Studies on behaviour have been performed by Cloudsley-Thompson, Linsenmair, Hoffmann, Shachak et al. (1979) (on Hemilepistus), Charfi-Cheikhrouha and colleagues (Figs 38–39).

Nervous system and sense organs

In this field research has been published by de Lattin, Fischbach, Kuers, Gupta, Alexander, Holdich, Haug & Altner (1984), Schmalfuss, Zimmer, Nidda, Molnar and others.

Sex determination and reproduction

Studies in this field have been undertaken by Vandel, Legrand and Juchault et al. (1993) in Poitiers, Katakura, Hasegawa et al. (1991), Warburg (1994), Hornung etc. (Figs 40–41).

Physiology and biochemistry

And finally quite a number of authors occupied themselves with detailed physiological and biochemical investigations: Edney (1964, 1968), Wieser, Lindquist (1968), Juchault, Mocquard and colleagues in Poitiers, Hopkin (1990), Alikhan (1973), Warburg, Carefoot et al. (1991), Katakura. For the special field of ecotoxicology check the publications and bibliographies of Hopkin and Hornung.

You see that isopodology has developed in these last 70 years into a broad, manifold and complex biological science.

So even such a universal genius as Aristoteles would have been very fascinated by the all these aspects of the life of woodlice (Fig. 42).
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