

TRICHODINA GOBII (CILIOPHORA: TRICHODINIDAE)  
ON WHITING *MERLANGIUS MERLANGUS*  
WITH A CHECKLIST FROM TURKISH AND RUSSIAN COASTS  
OF THE BLACK SEA

AHMET ÖZER<sup>1</sup>, TÜRKAY ÖZTÜRK<sup>1</sup>, YULIA M. KORNYCHUK<sup>2</sup> and VIOLETTA YURAKHNO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sinop University, Faculty of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 57000 Sinop, Turkey  
E-mail: aoyer@sinop.edu.tr

<sup>2</sup>A. O. Kovalevsky Institute of Biology of the Southern Seas  
2 Nakhimov av., 299011 Sevastopol, Russia; E-mail: juliakorniychuk@gmail.com

*Trichodina gobii* was identified during a parasitological survey conducted on the parasite fauna of whiting, *Merlangius merlangus* at both Sinop (Turkey) and Sevastopol (Russia) coasts of the Black Sea in the period between May 2011 and March 2014. Its morphological characteristics and taxonomic affinities with other previous reports on this species were revealed. The ecological occurrences in relation with seasonality, host length and sex of whiting were also determined. Parasitological indices were calculated from 690 and 423 whiting specimens collected in Sinop and Sevastopol, respectively. Overall infestation prevalence (%) and mean intensity and mean abundance values were 45.6%, 70.1±10.4 and 32.0±4.9, respectively, in Sinop, while they were 6.9%, 29.2±10.1 and 2.0±0.8 in Sevastopol. The taxonomic affinities to other trichodinid species and ecological data are discussed in detail.

Key words: Trichodinid, marine fish, season, checklist.

## INTRODUCTION

Whiting, *Merlangius merlangus* (Linneaus, 1758), is a gadid fish with a geographical distribution in the northeast Atlantic from the southern Barents Sea and Iceland to Portugal, and in the Black, Adriatic and Aegean Seas (FROSE & PAULY 2009). In the Black Sea, whiting is one of the main commercially significant fish species in Turkey and it is common near western Turkish coasts including Sinop; annual catch was 7367 tonnes in 2012 in Turkey (TÜİK 2013).

Species of the genus *Trichodina* Ehrenberg, 1838 are among the most frequently encountered ciliates on marine and freshwater animals, typically fishes, molluscs, as well as amphibians. To date, about 300 nominal trichodinid species have been reported from different environments in the world (TANG & ZHAO 2013). However, a total of 22 species belonging to genus *Trichodina* have so far been reported from the Black Sea (see Table 1). In Turkey, the trichodinid ciliates of freshwater fishes have received considerable attention in recent years (ÖZER & ERDEM 1998, ÖZER 2000, 2003a,b, 2007, ÖZER & ÖZTÜRK 2004,

ÖĞÜT & PALM 2005, ÖZTÜRK & ÖZER 2007, 2008, ÖZTÜRK & ÇAM 2013). Trichodinids infest many fish species with varying intensities dictated by ecological conditions such as temperature and host factors such as sex and length of fish (ÖZER & ERDEM 1999, ÖZER 2007). Host specificity in trichodinids appears variable, with species such as *Trichodina acuta* Lom, 1961, *Trichodina nigra* Lom, 1960, *T. fultoni* Davis, 1947 and *T. heterodentata* Duncan, 1977 in fresh water and *T. rectuncinata* Raabe, 1958 and *T. ovonucleata* Raabe, 1958 infecting a large number of marine host species and species such as *T. tenuidens* Faure-Fremiet, 1944 in fresh water and *T. jadranica* Raabe, 1958 parasitizing only a couple of marine host species (ÖZER 2003a, ÖZTÜRK & ÖZER 2008, PADUA *et al.* 2012, VALLADÃO *et al.* 2013). Moreover, *Trichodina puytoraci* Lom, 1962 and *T. lepsii* Lom, 1962 have been reported from only mugilids, such as the striped mullet, *Mugil cephalus* Linneaus, 1758, and the golden grey mullet, *Liza aurata* Risso, 1810 in brackish water (BYKOVSKAYA-PAVLOVSKAYA *et al.* 1964, KINNE 1984, GRUPCHEVA *et al.* 1989, ÖZER & ÖZTÜRK 2004, ÖZER & YILMAZ KIRCA 2013). Xu (2007) made detailed revision on the identification of *T. gobii* Raabe, 1959, previously reported either *T. domerguei gobii* or *T. jadranica* by some authors (RAABE 1959, LOM 1970, GRUPCHEVA *et al.* 1989, SU & WHITE 1995, MADSEN *et al.* 2000), summarized its host range and suggested that *T. gobii* is a widely distributed species that belonged to one of the extraordinary fish trichodinids occurred in both marine and freshwater environments.

Although infestations by trichodinid ciliates have previously been reported from marine fishes in the Black Sea (Table 1), there is only a limited number of studies present on the trichodinid fauna of whiting elsewhere in the Black Sea including Turkish and Russian coasts. In the present study, the whiting, *M. merlangus*, inhabiting Sinop and Sevastopol coastal zones at southern and northern Black Sea were investigated in order to determine its trichodinid fauna and its affinities with seasonality, length and sex of host fish, as well as the host-parasite relationships of trichodinid parasites.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Whittings were collected throughout a period from May 2011 to March 2014 from local fishermen. A total of 690 fish specimens near Sinop, Turkey and 423 specimens near Sevastopol (Balaklava Bay), Russia were examined for parasites (Fig. 1). Collected fish were transferred to parasitology laboratory on dried ice and examined for parasites using conventional methods. Trichodinids were determined by screening smears of skin, fins and gills of fish using a light microscope and full parasite count was conducted one by one to obtain the exact number rather than estimate. Dry smears were made in accordance with Klein's silver nitrate ( $\text{AgNO}_3$ ) method (Lom & Dykova 1992). All parasite species were identified using a phase contrast Olympus microscope (BX53) equipped with a digital camera (DP50) and hand drawing attachment. The measurements were carried out using an ocular micrometer. The description was based on 30 specimens. Arithmetic

**Table 1.** *Trichodina* species reported from fishes of different geographical localities in the Black Sea region.

Parasite species	Host fish	Locality	Authors
T. domerguei Wallengren, 1897	On gills of <i>Neogobius melanostomus</i> , <i>Syphodus roissali</i> , <i>S. scincus</i> , <i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	Russia: Sevastopol	ZAIKA (1966)
	On gills sometimes, mainly on the fins of <i>Mesogobius batrachocephalus</i> , <i>Neogobius melanostomus</i> , <i>N. fluviatilis</i> , <i>Gobius cobitis</i> , <i>Zosterisessor ophioccephalus</i> , <i>Trachurus mediterraneus ponticus</i> , <i>Merlangius merlangus</i> , <i>Uranoscopus scaber</i> , <i>Diplodus annularis</i>	Russia: Sevastopol, Karkinitsky Bay, Kalamitsky Gulf, Lake Donuzlav; Kerch Strait; Georgia: Batumi	GAEVSKAYA <i>et al.</i> (1975)
T. jadranica Raabe, 1958	On gills of <i>Casterosteus aculeatus</i>	Turkey: W coast, Sinop	ÖZER (2003a)
	On gills of <i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>	Turkey: W coast, Sinop	ÖZER (2003b)
	On skin, gills and fins of <i>Neogobius fluviatilis</i> , <i>Pomatoschistus marmoratus</i>	Turkey: E coast, Samsun	ÖZTÜRK & ÇAM (2013)
T. gobii Raabe, 1959	On gills of <i>Mullus barbatus ponticus</i>	Russia: Sevastopol	ZAIKA (1966)
	On gills of <i>Mullus barbatus ponticus</i> , <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>	Russia: Sevastopol	GAEVSKAYA <i>et al.</i> (1975)
	On gills of <i>Syphodus ocellatus</i> , <i>S. cinereus</i> , <i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>	Romania: Balchik	GRUPCHEVA <i>et al.</i> (1989)
	On gills of <i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>	Russia: Sevastopol	ZAIKA (1966)
	On gills and body surface of <i>Neogobius melanostomus</i> , <i>N. eurycephalus</i> , <i>Mesogobius batrachocephalus</i> , <i>Proterorhinus marmoratus</i> , <i>Scorpaena porcus</i> , <i>Liza aurata</i> , <i>L. saliens</i> , <i>Merlangius merlangus</i> , <i>Sciæna umbra</i> , <i>Mugil cephalus</i>	Russia: Sevastopol, Kerch Strait; Georgia: Batumi, Lake Paliastomi	GAEVSKAYA <i>et al.</i> (1975)
	On gills of <i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	Turkey: W coast, Sinop; Russia: Sevastopol	this study

Table 1 (continued)

Parasite species	Host fish		Locality	Authors
	On gills of <i>Ophidion rochei</i> , <i>Sympodus cinereus</i> , <i>S. rois-sili</i>	Russia: Sevastopol	ZAIKA (1966)	
<i>T. ovonucleata</i> Raabe, 1958	On gills and fins of <i>Scorpaena porcus</i> , <i>Gaidropsarus mediterraneus</i> , <i>Merlangius merlangus</i> , <i>Syngnathus typhle</i> , <i>S. abaster</i> , <i>Liza saliens</i> , <i>Serranus scriba</i> , <i>Trachurus mediterraneus ponticus</i> , <i>Sciaena umbra</i> , <i>Diplodus annularis</i> , <i>Spicara flexuosa</i> , <i>Mullus barbatus ponticus</i> , <i>Sympodus roissali</i> , <i>S. tinca</i> , <i>S. cinereus</i> , <i>Parablemmius sanguinolentus</i> , <i>Blennius tentacularis</i> , <i>Ophidion rochei</i> , <i>Gobius cobitis</i> , <i>G. niger</i> , <i>Trigla lucerna</i> , <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i> , <i>Engraulis encrasicolus macoticus</i> , <i>Solea lascaris nasuta</i> (?)	Russia: Sevastopol, Kerch Strait; Georgia: Batumi	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)	
	On gills of <i>Sympodus cinereus</i> , <i>S. ocellatus</i> , <i>Parablemmius tentacularis</i> , <i>P. sanguinolentus</i> , <i>Aldabrennus sphinx</i> , <i>Syngnathus typhle</i>	Romania: Balchik	GRUPCHEVA et al. (1989)	
<i>T. inversa Dogiel</i> , 1948	On gills of <i>Trachurus mediterraneus ponticus</i> , <i>Mullus barbatus ponticus</i> , <i>Sympodus tinca</i> , <i>S. cinereus</i> , <i>Scomber scombrus</i> , <i>Scorpaena porcus</i> , <i>Solea luscus nasuta</i>	Romanian coast; Russia: Sevastopol, Kalamitsky Gulf; Kerch Strait; Georgia: Batumi	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)	
<i>T. caspialosae</i> Dogiel, 1940	On gills of <i>Alosa caspia tanaitica</i> , <i>A. kessleri pontica</i> , <i>Trachurus mediterraneus ponticus</i> (?)	Romanian coast; Russia: Sevastopol;	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)	

Table 1 (continued)

Parasite species	Host fish	Locality	Authors
<i>T. rubaei</i> Lom, 1962	On gills of <i>Platichthys flesus luscus</i>	Romanian coast	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)
	On gills of <i>Mugil cephalus</i> , <i>Liza saliens</i> , <i>L. aurata</i> , <i>Mullus barbatus ponticus</i> ,	Russia: Sevastopol, Kerch Strait; Georgia: Batumi;	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)
	On gills of <i>Alosa caspia tanaica</i>	Romania: Tabakaria; Georgia: Paliastomi	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)
<i>T. lepsi'i</i> Lom, 1962	On gills of <i>Syngnathus typhle</i>	Romania: Balchik	GRUPCHEVA et al. (1989)
	On skin, gills and fins of <i>Mugil cephalus</i> , <i>Liza aurata</i>	Turkey: W coast, Sinop	ÖZER & ÖZTÜRK (2004)
	On skin, gills of <i>Liza aurata</i>	Turkey: E coast, Samsun	ÖZER & YILMAZ KIRCA (2013)
<i>T. puytoraci</i> Lom, 1962	On gills of <i>Liza aurata</i> , <i>L. saliens</i> , <i>Mugil cephalus</i> , <i>Alosa caspia tanaica</i>	Russia: Sevastopol; Kerch Strait; Romania: Tabakaria; Georgia: Paliastomi	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)
	On gills of <i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	Turkey: E coast, Trabzon	ÖĞÜR & ALTUNTAŞ (2011)
	On skin, gills and fins of <i>Mugil cephalus</i> , <i>Liza aurata</i>	Turkey: W coast, Sinop	ÖZER & ÖZTÜRK (2004)
	On skin, gills of <i>Liza aurata</i>	Turkey: E coast, Samsun	ÖZER & YILMAZ KIRCA (2013)
<i>T. claviformis</i> Dobberstein et Palm, 2000	On gills of <i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	Turkey: E coast, Trabzon	ÖĞÜR & ALTUNTAŞ (2011)
<i>T. borealis</i> Dogiel, 1940	On gills of <i>Platichthys flesus luscus</i> , <i>Solea lascaris nasuta</i> , <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>	Russia: Sevastopol, Kerch Strait; Georgia: Batumi;	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)

Table 1 (continued)

Parasite species	Host fish	Locality	Authors
<i>T. zaikai</i> Gruppe et Dykova, 1989	On gills of <i>Sympodus ocellatus</i> , <i>S. cinereus</i> , <i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i>	Romania: Balchik	GRUPCHEVA et al. (1989)
<i>T. micromaculata</i> Stein, 1975	On gills of <i>Trachurus mediterraneus ponticus</i> , <i>Syngnathus typhle</i> , <i>Mullus barbatus ponticus</i> , <i>Mugil cephalus</i> , <i>Scorpaena porcus</i> , <i>Gobius cobitis</i> , <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i> , <i>Sciaena umbra</i> , <i>Sympodus tinca</i>	Russia: Sevastopol; Georgia: Paliastomi	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)
<i>T. rectuncinata</i> Raabe, 1958	On gills of <i>Gaidropsar sus mediterraneus</i> , <i>Merlangius merlangus</i> , <i>Parablemmis sanguinolentus</i> , <i>Sympodus cinereus</i> , <i>S. tinca</i> , <i>S. roissali</i> , <i>S. scina</i> , <i>Ctenolabrus rupestris merlangus</i> , <i>Syngnathus variegatus</i> , <i>S. typhle</i> , <i>S. abaster</i> , <i>Mullus barbatus ponticus</i> , <i>Serranus scriba</i> , <i>Sciaena umbra</i> , <i>Sympodus tinca</i> , <i>S. roissali</i> , <i>S. cinereus</i> , <i>S. scina</i> , <i>Blenius pavo</i> , <i>Parablemmis sanguinolentus</i> , <i>P. tentacularis</i> , <i>B. ocellatus</i> , <i>Gobius cobitis</i> , <i>Neogobius melanostomus</i> , <i>Gobius niger</i> , <i>Zosterisessor opifex</i> , <i>Scorpaena porcus</i>	Russian coasts; Romania: Konstanza	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)
<i>T. partitisci</i> Lom, 1962	On gills of <i>Lisa saliens</i> , <i>Syngnathus variegatus</i> , <i>S. typhle</i> , <i>S. abaster</i>	Romanian coast; Russia: Sevastopol	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)
	On gills of <i>Syngnathus typhle</i> , <i>S. abaster</i>	Romania: Balchik	GRUPCHEVA et al. (1989)
<i>T. fultoni</i> Davis, 1947	On gills and fins of <i>Squalus acanthias</i> , <i>Gaidropsar sus mediterraneus</i> , <i>Diplodus annularis</i> , <i>Sympodus tinca</i> , <i>Uranoscopus scalar</i> , <i>Mesogobius batrachocephalus</i> , <i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>	Russia: Sevastopol; Kuban river delta	GAEVSKAYA et al. (1975)

Table 1 (continued)

Parasite species	Host fish	Locality	Authors
	<i>Gobius niger</i> , <i>Neogobius cephalargus</i>	Romania: Balchik	GRUCHEVA <i>et al.</i> (1989)
<i>Trichodina</i> sp.	On gills of <i>Mugil cephalus</i> , <i>Liza aurata</i> , <i>L. saliens</i> , <i>Mullus barbatus</i> , <i>Alosa caspia tanaica</i>	Russia: Sevastopol; Kerch Strait; Georgia: Batumi	GAEVSKAYA <i>et al.</i> (1975)
<i>Trichodina</i> sp.	On gills of <i>Ctenolabrus rupestris</i>	Russia: Sevastopol	ZAIKA (1966)
<i>Trichodina</i> sp.	On gills of <i>Mullus barbatus ponticus</i>	Russia: Sevastopol	ZAIKA (1966)
	On gills and head surface of <i>Anguilla anguilla</i>	Georgia: Batumi	GRUCHEVA <i>et al.</i> (1989)
<i>T. anguilli</i> Wu, 1961	On gills of <i>Sympodus ocellatus</i> , <i>Paralemmius tentacularis</i> , <i>Aldabreamus sphinx</i>	Romania: Balchik and Sozopol	GRUCHEVA <i>et al.</i> (1989)
<i>T. tenuidens</i> Faure-Fremiet, 1944	On gills and skin of <i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>	Turkey: W coast-Sinop	ÖZER (2003a)
<i>T. heterodentata</i> Duncan, 1977	On skin and fins of <i>Neogobius fluviatilis</i> , <i>Pomatoschistus marmoratus</i>	Turkey: E coast-Samsun	ÖZTÜRK & ÇAM (2013)
<i>Trichodina</i> sp.	On gills of <i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	Turkey: E coast, Trabzon	ÖĞÜT & PALM (2005), ÖĞÜT & ALTINTAŞ (2011), ÖĞÜT & ÇAVUS (2014)

means±standard error is followed, in parentheses, by the minimum and maximum values. Morphometric measurements of the individual parasites were conducted according to LOM and DYKOVA (1992). Detailed descriptions of trichodinid denticles are presented in accordance with the method proposed by VAN AS and BASSON (1989). The span of the denticle was measured from the tip of blade to the tip of ray. However, denticle length was measured as described by LOM and DYKOVA (1992). Prevalence (%), mean intensity and mean abundance values were determined according to BUSH *et al.* (1997). Water temperature (°C) values were measured monthly using a YSI Professional Plus water quality instrument.

Kruskal-Wallis test (Nonparametric ANOVA) was performed to find out the significant differences in the mean intensity values of the trichodinid for length classes of fish as well as for the seasons. The difference between parasite loading on male and female whiting was tested by the Mann-Whitney U-test. All the statistical tests were performed at the significance level of 5%.

## RESULTS

*Trichodina gobii* Raabe, 1959 was the only trichodinid species identified on the gills of *M. merlangus* from the both southern and northern parts of the Black Sea (Fig. 2, Table 2). This study also revealed comparable data on its seasonality and host-parasite relationship at both sampling localities (Fig. 1, Table 3). Surface water temperature (°C) values at both sampling localities were presented in Table 4.

### *Morphological characteristics of Trichodina gobii*

A medium-sized trichodinid with disc-shaped body (Fig. 2A-E). The centre of the adhesive disc of the specimens impregnated with silver nitrate is clear with several dark granules. The almost sickle-shaped blade of denticle is broad



Fig. 1. Map of sampling localities at the Black Sea.


**Table 2.** Morphometric data of *Trichodina gobii* collected from gills of *Merlangius merlangus* (Sinop, Western Black Sea).

Body diameter ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	37.5 $\pm$ 1.1 (34.0–42.0)
Adhesive disc diameter ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	29.9 $\pm$ 0.4 (29.0–34.0)
Border membrane width ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	2.3 $\pm$ 0.1 (2.0–3.0)
Denticle ring diameter ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	20.0 $\pm$ 0.4 (18.0–22.0)
Denticle number	22 (21–25)
Number of radial pins/denticle	7 (7–8)
Denticle span ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	9.7 $\pm$ 0.2 (8.5–11.0)
Denticle length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	4.6 $\pm$ 0.1 (4.0–5.0)
Blade length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	3.9 $\pm$ 0.1 (3.5–4.5)
Thorn length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	4.1 $\pm$ 0.1 (3.5–5.0)
Central part width ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	1.7 $\pm$ 0.1 (1.5–2.0)

filling more than half of the area between Y axis. The distal margin of blade almost touches the border membrane. The apex of blade is absent. Tangent point of blade is lower than distal blade surface. Posterior blade margin fairly slightly curved. Blade apophysis absent. Blade connection thick. Central part well developed, but thick and long tapering to rounded point fitting slightly into preceding denticle. Ray connection robust and thick. Ray apophysis present in some specimens. Base of ray thick, on with ray tapering gradually to rounded point. Rays long and curved in anterior direction with tips touching Y axis. Ratio of denticle above and below X axis about unity (Table 2).

#### *Infestation indices of Trichodina gobii on M. merlangus*

*Trichodina gobii* infested only the gills of *M. merlangus* at both sampling localities. Overall infestation prevalence (%), mean intensity and mean abun-



**Fig. 2.** *Trichodina gobii*. A = photomicrograph of silver impregnated parasite, B = diagrammatic drawing of the denticles, C–E = morphological variabilities indicating slightly different blade shapes.

**Table 3.** Parasitological indices of *Trichodina gobii* according to season, *Merlangius merlangus* length and gender in Turkish and Russian coasts of the Black Sea (SE = standard error)

	Turkish coast of the Black Sea (Sinop)			Russian coast of the Black Sea (Sevastopol)		
	Prevalence (%)*	Mean intensity ± SE	Mean abundance ± SE	Prevalence (%)*	Mean intensity ± SE	Mean abundance ± SE
Seasons						
Summer	19.2a (8.5–37.9) (n = 26)	64.8±36.9ab	12.5±8.2	0 (n = 40)	0	0
Autumn	51.8b (45.3–58.3) (n = 222)	50.4±11.6ab	26.1±6.2	7.2a (3.5–14.2) (n = 97)	7.4±3.4 a	0.5±0.3
Winter	30.0a (30.0–42.5) (n = 222)	63.7±22.5b	22.4±8.3	3.8a (1.3–10.5) (n = 80)	4.7±2.7a	0.2±0.1
Spring	52.3b (45.7–58.8) (n = 220)	94.6±10.6a	49.4±11.2	9.2a (6.0–14.0) (n = 206)	41.1±14.8a	3.8±1.6
Fish length classes						
<15 cm	39.6a (34.4–45.1) (n = 323)	44.9±9.9a	17.8±4.1	2.6a (1.0–7.3) (n = 117)	8.0±4.2a	0.2±0.1
15–18 cm	51.5ab (46.6–57.4) (n = 326)	88.4±17.6ab	45.6±9.4	6.6ab (3.8–11.2) (n = 181)	26.8±10.1a	1.8±0.8
>18 cm	46.3b (32.1–61.3) (n = 41)	77.9±23.2b	36.1±12.2	11.2b (6.8–17.9) (n = 125)	35.7±19.3a	4.0±2.3
Fish sexes						
Male	35.4a (29.5–41.8) (n = 229)	47.5±13.7a	16.8±1.1	7.3a (3.1–15.9) (n = 69)	37.2±18.6a	2.7±1.7
Female	50.8b (46.2–55.3) (n = 461)	77.9±13.1b	39.6±6.9	6.8a (4.6–9.9) (n = 354)	27.5±11.7a	1.9±0.9
Overall	45.6 (n = 690)	70.1±10.4 a	32.0±4.9	6.9 (n = 423)	29.2±10.1a	2.0±0.8

Values followed by the same superscript letter are not significantly different by analysis of variance-protected Tukey-Kramer,  $\alpha = 0.05$ .  
 \*Estimate with 95% confidence intervals (based on Wilson score limit) in parentheses.

**Table 4.** Seasonal surface water temperature ranges measured at both sampling localities during one year period in 2012–2013.

Season	Temperature (°C)	
	Sinop	Sevastopol
Spring (March–May)	9.5–17.2	8.4–16.4
Summer (June–August)	20.4–26.1	21.7–25.2
Autumn (September–November)	15.2–21.4	13.9–21.6
Winter (December–February)	8.3–13.1	8.2–11.0

dance values determined in Sinop were 45.6%,  $70.1\pm10.4$  and  $32.0\pm4.9$ , respectively and 6.9%,  $29.2\pm10.1$  and  $2.0\pm0.8$ , respectively in Sevastopol (Table 3). These values were also recorded for seasons as well as for the sex and length classes of *M. merlangus* (Table 3). Infestation prevalence (%) and mean intensity values were higher in spring, middle length class of fish and female specimens and statistically different between seasons, sex and length classes of fish ( $P < 0.05$ ) in Turkish samples (Table 3). On the other hand, infestation prevalence (%) and mean intensity values were higher in spring, middle length fish class and male specimens and no statistically significant differences between the three length classes, sex of fish and seasons in Russian samples ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Table 3). When compared each data between sampling localities, despite 5 to 7 times higher infestation prevalence values in Turkish samples than those in Russian samples, no statistically significant differences were determined between both sampling localities ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Table 3).

## DISCUSSION

A total of 21 *Trichodina* species have so far been reported from the Black Sea fishes and only 6 of them (*T. domerguei*, *T. rectuncinata*, *T. gobii*, *T. puytoraci*, *T. claviformis*, *T. inversa*) are from whiting *M. merlangus* (Table 1). Our parasite was identified as *Trichodina gobii* Raabe, 1959 based on both morphological features and morphometric measurements (Fig. 2, Table 2) when compared with other previously recorded *Trichodina* species from fishes in the Black Sea and from other fish species reported to be infested by above mentioned *Trichodina* species by several authors from other localities (XU 2007, YEMMEN et al. 2010, 2012). ÖĞÜT and PALM (2005), ÖĞÜT and ALTUNTAŞ (2011) and ÖĞÜT and ÇAVUŞ (2014) reported *Trichodina* sp. on the gills of *M. merlangus* at the eastern part of Turkish Black Sea coasts and we believe that the morphology and morphometric data provided for this parasite correspond to *Trichodina gobii*. XU (2007) provided a brief history on *T. gobii*, a species named as *Trichodina domerguei* f. *gobii* at first, elevated to species rank based on current literature

and made a revision of *T. jadranica* and indicated that it had some varieties in morphological features due to its occurrence on several fish species in marine and freshwater environments.

Since the original description of *T. gobii* from *Gobius minutus* in the Black Sea by RAABE (1959), data on its ecological and host-parasite relationship are very limited (YEMMEN *et al.* 2012, ÖĞÜT & ÇAVUŞ 2014) thus, this study provides the first and more comparable data on these aspects. YEMMEN *et al.* (2012) reported prevalences between 5% and 63.84%, without any intensity values, from the gills of several soleid fish species (*Solea solea* Quesnel, 1806, *S. aegyptiaca* Chabanaud, 1927, *S. senegalensis* Kaup, 1958) in several northern lagoons of Tunisia. In the present study, overall infestation prevalence values of 45.6% in Turkish coasts and 6.9% in Russian coasts of the Black Sea suited well in the range reported by YEMMEN *et al.* (2012) and, however, lower than that of ÖĞÜT and ÇAVUŞ (2014) who reported infestation prevalence over 88.3% on the gills of *M. merlangus* at the eastern Turkish Black Sea coasts during January–March period in 2010 and 2011. It is obvious from literary data (see Xu 2007 for details; YEMMEN *et al.* 2012, ÖĞÜT & ÇAVUŞ 2014) and this study that the gills are the main microhabitat for this trichodinid species.

Seasonal and temperature dependent variations on the occurrence of trichodinids have been shown to occur and spring was reported to be the most favoured season for trichodinid multiplication (ÖZER 2003a,b, ÖZER & ERDEM 1998, 1999, ÖZTÜRK & ÇAM 2013). ÖĞÜT and PALM (2005) reported higher infestation prevalence and mean intensities for *Trichodina* sp. (syn. of *T. gobii*) on *M. merlangus* during the fall, winter and early spring months and indicated strong correlations between prevalence and nitrate, nitrite and phosphate levels. In the present study, both prevalence and mean intensity at both sampling localities had the highest values in spring with statistically significant differences only in Turkish samples. ÖĞÜT and PALM (2005) determined infestation prevalence values always stayed above 60% for *Trichodina* sp. on *M. merlangus* throughout a sampling year at the eastern part of the Black Sea, those values in seasonal data of our study never reached to that level. However, a trend for gradual increase in prevalence from late fall up to early spring and then a sharp decrease in summer was very similar at three localities in the Black Sea. On the other hand, mean intensity values of *Trichodina* sp. (syn. of *T. gobii*) of ÖĞÜT and ALTUNTAŞ (2011) were always higher than the mean intensities of our *T. gobii* as a result of different calculation techniques applied at both studies, full parasite count from all gill arches of fish in the present study and parasite count from only two previously fixed and stained gill arches of fish in the latter. The differences in infestation levels could also be resulted from possible differences in fish stocks, organic pollution and water temperatures at three localities in the Black Sea. ÖZER (2000) reported higher mean intensity value in

spring for *Trichodina mutabilis* on wild common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L., 1758) than on farmed fish and proposed that the weakened condition of the wild fish was the underlying factor. The increase in our study could be the resulted from the increase in temperature as the protozoan infestations on fish are strongly dependant on the ecological conditions such as temperature and weakened condition matching the beginning of maturation in whiting during spring.

The number of studies on the existence of trichodinids on both male and female is rare and some reported no statistically significant difference in their existence (ÖZER 2000, 2003b) while some others reported significant differences (ÖZER 2003a, ÖZTÜRK & ÖZER 2007). Our data obtained from Turkish and Russian samples somehow correspond well to both situations. Infestation prevalence, mean intensity and mean abundances of female fish in Turkish samples had higher values with statistically significant differences. The situation, however, was the reversed in Russian samples, male fish having higher values though the difference was not statistically significant. Although the analysis of host sex and parasitic infestation does not provide a clear explanations for trichodinid population structures on *M. merlangus*, it does reveal some patterns that these differences could be resulted from either different fish stocks at both sampling localities or insufficient number of male fish in Russian samples, thus, preventing evaluation on actual effects of fish sex on *T. gobii* infestations.

The severity of many ectoparasitic infestations increases with host age, possibly as a result of increasing exposure period and host body size (ÖZER 2003b). In the present study, larger-sized whiting had higher infestation indices without any statistically significant differences in Russian samples similar to those of ÖZER (2003a) for *Trichodina domerguei* on round goby (*Neogobius melanostomus* Pallas, 1811) and ÖZTÜRK and ÖZER (2007) for *T. domerguei*, *T. modesta* and *Tripartiella macrosoma*. On the other hand, there is a similarity between Turkish samples in the present study and ÖZER (2003a) for *T. domerguei* and *T. tenuidens* on three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* L., 1758) on that the differences in infestation indices on length classes were statistically significant. It must be mentioned that the differences in the mean intensity values of the same length classes on fish at both sampling localities were not statistically significant, despite several folds higher values in favour of Turkish samples. In addition, there was a clear increase in infestation indices of Russian samples as the fish becomes larger, there was a decrease in the largest length class after the middle-size class of 15–18 cm in Turkish samples. It is generally accepted that as the fish becomes larger, the space for parasite settlement increases. This difference might be a possible result of insufficient number of fish in the largest length class preventing actual evaluation on real effects of fish length on *T. gobii* infestations in Turkish samples. However,

some authors attributed the differences in parasite loading to cyclical host changes, a decrease in the number of AB-positive mucous cells resulting from hormonal status of the fish epidermis (PICKERING 1977, PICKERING & CHRISTIE 1980, URAWA 1992). Further stress on whiting in spring with spawning activity might have occurred. Similar observations were also done when examining fish with mature eggs that corresponded with an increase in the trichodinid number encountered on fish.

In conclusion, *T. gobii* occurred at higher infestation indices in Turkish whiting samples than those in Russian whiting samples, spring was the most favoured season and larger sized fish had higher prevalence and intensities than that of smaller sized fish at both sampling locations. Females in Turkish samples and males in Russian samples had higher infestation indices.

\*

Acknowledgement – Authors are grateful to the Scientific and Technological Research Council (TÜBİTAK) of Turkey and National Academy of Sciences (NASU) of Ukraine for their financial support to the project number 1100475.

## REFERENCES

- BUSH, A. O., LAFFERTY, K. D., LOTZ, J. M. & SHOSTAK, A. W. (1997) Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. *Journal of Parasitology* **83**: 575–583. doi: 10.2307/3284227
- BYKOVSKAYA-PAVLOVSKAYA, I. E., GUSEV, A. V., DUBININA, N. A., IZYUMOVA, T. S., SMIRNOVA, I. L., SOKOLOVSKAYA, G. A., SHTEIN, G. A., SHULMAN, S. S. & EPSHTEIN, V. M. (1964) *Key to parasites of freshwater fish of the U.S.S.R. Part I*. Translated by Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, Pp.180–218.
- FROESE, R. & PAULY, D. (eds) (2009) *FishBase*. <http://www.fishbase.org>. [Accessed on 01 February 2014]
- GAEVSKAYA, A. V., GUSEV, A. V., DELJAMURE, S. L., DONETC, Z. S., ISKOVA, N. I., KORNJUSHIN, V. V., KOVALEVA, A. A., MARGARITOV, N. M., MARKEVITCH, A. P., MORDVINOVA, T. N., NAJDENOVA, N. N., NIKOLAEVA, V. M., PARUKHIN, A. M., POGORELTCEVA, T. P., SMOGORZHEVSKAJA, L. A., SOLONCHENKO, A. I., SHTEIN, G. A. & SHULMAN, S. S. (1975) *Key to parasites of vertebrates of the Black and Azov Seas*. Naukova dumka, Kiev, 552 pp. [in Russian]
- GRUPCHEVA, G., LOM, J. & DYKOVA, I. (1989) Trichodinids (Ciliata: Urceolariidae) from gills of some marine fishes with the description of *Trichodina zaika* sp. n. *Folia Parasitologica* **36**: 193–207.
- KINNE, O. (1984) *Diseases of marine animals*. Biologische Anstalt Helgoland, Hamburg, Pp. 157–161.
- LOM, J. (1970) Trichodinid ciliates (Peritrichida: Urceolariidae) from some marine fishes. *Folia Parasitologica* **17**: 113–125.
- LOM, J. & DYKOVA, I. (1992) *Protozoan parasites of fish*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 315 pp.

- MADSEN, H. C. K., BUCHMANN, K. & MELLERGAARD, S. (2000) Trichodina sp. (Ciliophora: Peritrichida) in eel *Anguilla anguilla* in recirculation systems in Denmark: host-parasite relations. *Disease of Aquatic Organisms* **42**: 149–152. doi: 10.3354/dao042149
- ÖĞÜT, H. & PALM, H. W. (2005) Seasonal dynamics of Trichodina spp. on whiting (*Merlangius merlangus*) in relation to organic pollution on the eastern Black Sea coast of Turkey. *Parasitology Research* **96**: 149–153. doi: 10.1007/s00436-005-1346-2
- ÖĞÜT, H. & ALTUNTAŞ, C. (2011) Monthly variation in the morphological characteristics of Trichodina sp. (Ciliophora: Peritrichida) found on whiting *Merlangius merlangus euxinus*. *Revista de Biología Marina y Oceanografía* **46**: 269–274. doi: 10.4067/S0718-19572011000200017
- ÖĞÜT, H. & ÇAVUS, N. (2014) A comparison of ectoparasite prevalence and occurrence of viral haemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) in whiting *Merlangius merlangus euxinus*. *Revista de Biología Marina y Oceanografía* **49**: 91–96. doi: 10.4067/S0718-19572014000100010
- ÖZER, A. (2000) The occurrence of three species of Trichodina (Ciliophora: Peritrichia) on *Cyprinus carpio* in relation to culture conditions, seasonality and host characteristics. *Acta Protozoologica* **39**: 61–66.
- ÖZER, A. (2003a) The occurrence of Trichodina domerguei Wallengren, 1897 and Trichodina tenuidens Faure-Fremiet, 1944 (Peritrichia) on three-spined stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus* L., 1758 found in a brackish and freshwater environment. *Acta Protozoologica* **42**: 41–46.
- ÖZER, A. (2003b) Trichodina domerguei Wallengren, 1897 (Ciliophora: Peritrichia) infestations on the Round Goby, *Neogobius melanostomus* Pallas, 1811 in relation to seasonality and host factors. *Comparative Parasitology* **70**: 132–135. doi: 10.1654/4073
- ÖZER, A. (2007) Trichodina modesta Lom, 1970 (Ciliophora: Peritrichia) infestations of an endemic toothcarp *Aphanius danfordii* Boulenger, 1890 (Pisces: Cyprinodontidae) in Sinop, Turkey. *Journal of Natural History* **41**: 2543–2549. doi: 10.1080/00222930701739724
- ÖZER, A. & ERDEM, O. (1998) Ectoparasitic protozoa fauna of the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L., 1758) caught in the Sinop region of Turkey. *Journal of Natural History* **32**: 441–454. doi: 10.1080/00222939800770231
- ÖZER, A. & ERDEM, O. (1999) The relationship between occurrence of ectoparasites, temperature and culture conditions; a comparison of farmed and wild common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L., 1758) in the Sinop region of northern Turkey. *Journal of Natural History* **33**: 483–491. doi: 10.1080/002229399300209
- ÖZER, A. & ÖZTÜRK, T. (2004) Trichodina puytoraci Lom, 1962 and Trichodina lepsii Lom, 1962 (Peritrichida: Ciliophora) infestations on mugilids caught at the Black Sea coast of Sinop in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Zoology* **28**: 179–182.
- ÖZER, A. & YILMAZ KIRCA, D. (2013) Parasite fauna of Golden Grey Mullet *Liza aurata* (Risso, 1810) collected from Lower Kızılırmak Delta in Samsun, Turkey. *Helminthologia* **50**: 269–280. doi: 10.2478/s11687-013-0140-4
- ÖZTÜRK, T. & ÇAM, A. (2013) Trichodinid parasites (Protozoa: Ciliophora: Peritrichida) of invasive gobiid fish inhabiting the Lower Kızılırmak Delta in Samsun, Turkey. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology* **45**: 1517–1524.
- ÖZTÜRK, T. & ÖZER, A. (2007) Trichodinid fauna of the toothcarp *Aphanius danfordii* (Boulenger, 1890) (Osteichthyes: Cyprinodontidae), an endemic fish from Sarıkum Lagoon Lake in Sinop (Turkey). *Acta Protozoologica* **46**: 73–80.

- ÖZTÜRK, T. & ÖZER, A. (2008) Parasitic fauna of the flounder, *Platichthyes flesus* L., 1758 caught in the Sarikum Lagoon Lake in Sinop (Turkey) and the occurrence of parasites in relation to host factors. *Journal of Fisheries Sciences.com* **2**: 403–418.
- PÁDUA, S. B., MARTINS, M. L., CARRASCHI, S. P., CRUZ, C. & ISHIKAWA, M. M. (2012) Trichodina heterodentata (Ciliophora: Trichodinidae): a new parasite for *Piaractus mesopotamicus* (Pisces: Characidae). *Zootaxa* **3422**: 62–68.
- PICKERING, A. D. (1977) Seasonal changes in the epidermis of the brown trout, *Salmo trutta* (L.). *Journal of Fish Biology* **10**: 561–565.
- PICKERING, A. D. & CHRISTIE, P. (1980) Sexual differences in the incidence and severity of ectoparasitic infestation of the brown trout, *Salmo trutta* (L.). *Journal of Fish Biology* **16**: 669–683.
- RAABE, Z. (1959) Urceolariidae of gills of Gobiidae and Cottidae from Baltica Sea. *Acta Parasitologica Polonica* **7**: 441–452.
- SU, X. & WHITE, R. W. G. (1995) Trichodinid parasites (Ciliophora, Peritrichia) from the gills of some Australian marine fishes. *Systematic Parasitology* **32**: 53–59. doi: 10.1007/BF00009467
- TANG, F. & ZHAO, Y. (2013) Record of three new Trichodina species (Protozoa, Ciliophora) parasitic on gills of freshwater fishes from Chongqing, China. *African Journal of Microbiological Research* **7**: 1226–1232. doi: 10.5897/AJMR12.1163
- TÜİK. Fishery Statistics. Turkish Statistical Institute, Ankara. <http://www.tuik.gov.tr> [Accessed on 01/09/2014]
- URAWA, S. (1992) Trichodina truttae Mueller, 1937 (Ciliophora: Peritrichida) on juvenile Chum salmon (*Onchorhynchus keta*): pathogenicity and host-parasite interactions. *Gyobyo Kenkyu* **27**: 29–37.
- XU, K. (2007) Trichodinid ectoparasites (Ciliophora, Peritrichia) from the Tiger puffer *Takifugu rubripes* in the Yellow Sea, with revision of *Trichodina jadranica* Raabe, 1958. *Acta Protozoologica* **46**: 311–324.
- VALLADÃO, G. M. R., GALLANI, S. U., PÁDUA, S. B., MARTINS, M. L. & PILARSKI, F. (2013) Trichodina heterodentata (Ciliophora) infestation on *Prochilodus lineatus* larvae: a host-parasite relationship study. *Parasitology* **141**: 662–669. doi: 10.1017/S0031182013001480
- VAN AS, J. G. & BASSON, L. (1989) Further contribution to the taxonomy of the Trichodinidae (Ciliophora: Peritrichida) and a review of the taxonomic status of some fish ectoparasitic trichodinids. *Systematic Parasitology* **14**: 157–179. doi: 10.1007/BF02187051
- YEMMEN, C., QUILICHINI, Y., KATARI, M. H., MARCHAND, B. & BAHRI, S. (2010) Morphological, ecological and histopathological studies of *Trichodina gobii* Raabe, 1959 (Ciliophora: Peritrichida) infecting the gills of *Solea aegyptiaca*. *Protistology* **6**: 258–263.
- YEMMEN, C., KATARI, M. H. & BAHRI, S. (2012) Parasitofauna of some mugilid and soleid fish species from Tunisian lagoons. *Acta Adriatica* **52**: 173–182.
- ZAIKA, V. E. (1966) On the fauna of the protozoan parasites of fishes of the Black Sea. Pp. 24–31. In: VODYANITSKY, V. A. (ed.): *Helminthofauna zhivotnih yuzhnih morey*. Naukova Dumka, Kiev. [in Russian]

Revised version received October 27, 2014, accepted February 20, 2015, published May 29, 2015